Sri M. P. L. SASTRY.—Is Government aware that this Diploma by J.J. School is now being conducted by the Maharashtra Government during the last few years and you are mentioning J.J. School and this has created some confusion ?

Sri K. V. SHANKARA GOWDA.—It may be true; we will try to rectify.

Sri M. P. L. SASTRY.—How is it that Government have not filled up the vacancies in spite of qualified people being available ?

Sri K. V. SHANKARA GOWDA.—In some cases, qualified people are not available and the recruitment people have not taken steps.

Sri M. P. L. SASTRY.—When the qualified persons are available, will the Government see that these posts will be filled up ?

Sri K. V. SHANKARA GOWDA.—We will certainly fill up the posts when persons are available.

Smt. M. R. LAKSHAMMA.—Since art is the indicator of the progress of the nation, will the Government consider the desirability of having more funds towards better payment ? Will the Government think of the desirability of giving better emoluments to teachers who are going to bring out art instincts in the student population ?

Sri K. V. SHANKARA GOWDA.—We cannot consider only the question of art teachers; other teachers are also there. We cannot say that the salaries given to them now is inadequate. It is a matter of comparison. People who get less will compare themselves with those that get a little more. We are already spending Rs. 37 crores on education and Government is not in a position to meet the additional expenditure for the Department of Education. All these difficultues will have to be considered and then a decision taken.

Sangeetha Natak Academy

81. Sri M. P. L. SASTRY (Nominated).—

Will the Minister for Agriculture and Education be pleased to state :—

(*a*) the amount spent by the Sangeetha Natak Academy, during the last three years ;

(*b*) the number and names of Institutions that got financial assistance during the said period together with the amount ;

(*c*) the Central grants that were received by the State and Sangeetha Natak Academy during the said period ?

A.—Sri K. V. SHANKARA GOWDA (Minister for Agriculture and Education).—

	Year		Rs.
(*a*)	1964-65	...	1,42,424-39
	1965-66	...	1,32,583-87
	1966-67	...	1,65,159-26

(*b*) Twenty institutions in 1965—Copy placed on the Table of the House.*

(*c*) Nil.

Sri M. P. L. SASTRY,—Sir, figures are given for 1964-65, 1965-66 and 1966-67. The highest amount of Rs. 1,65,000 is for the year 1966-67. May I know how much of this amount is spent for establishment and the amount to encourage all the institutions coming under the Sangeeth Natak Academy ?

Sri K. V. SHANKARA GOWDA.—Sir, amount spent on establishment is not much ; there are only 2 or 3 people working there, but I do not have the exact figure here.

Sri M. P. L. SASTRY.—May I know what exactly is the nature of work that this Sangeeth Natak Academy is doing ?

Sri K. V. SHANKARA GOWDA.—Giving financial assistance to various institutions and financial assistance to people who were serving these arts and who are now in difficult situation due to age and encourage arts.

Sri M. P. L. SASTRY.—Sir, in answer to (*b*) 20 institutions were given some financial assistance in 1965. How is it no assistance is given in 66-67 in spite of the expenditure going up to Rs. 1,65,000 ?

Sri K. V. SHANKARA GOWDA.—In 1966 amount was not sufficient ; about 14 institutions have been given financial assistance.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಸಿ. ನರಸಿಂಹನ್.—ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ನಾಟಕ ಆಡುವವರಿಗೆ ದುಡ್ಡು ಕೊಡುತ್ತೀರಾ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ವಿ. ಶಂಕರಗೌಡ.—ನಾಟಕ ಮಾಡುವವರಿಗೆ ಕೊಡುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ನಾಟಕದಲ್ಲಿ ಪಾರ್ಟ್ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದು ವಯಸ್ಸಾದವರಾಗಿದ್ದು, ತಮ್ಮ ಜೀವನವನ್ನು ನಡೆಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲಾರದಂಥವರಾಗಿದ್ದವರಿಗೆ ಈ ರೀತಿ ಕೊಡುತ್ತೇವೆ.

Sri M. P. L. SASTRY.—Will the Government consider the desirability of the Sangeeth Natak Academy arranging for Seminars, holding study circles and having demonstration relating to music and drama just as it is being done in Madras ?

Sri K. V. SHANKARA GOWDA.—These things are being done, Sir. We are having so many functions, music concerts and drama performances and we have seminars.

*Copy placed in the Mysore Legislature

Sri M. P. L. SASTRY.—Is the Government aware that no seminar was held and no evaluation work in the study circles have been done ?

Sri K. V. SHANKARA GOWDA.—Evaluation work might not have been done ; but, there are seminars.

Sri M. P. L. SASTRY.—How is it that we are not getting grants from the Central Government for this ?

Sri K. V. SHANKARA GOWDA.—Some how we are not able to get a grant.

Sri M. P. L. SASTRY.—Is it because the Government has not demanded this grant ?

Sri K. V. SHANKARA GOWDA.—We have demanded and they say, for want of funds they are not able to give.

Land Revenue

82. Sri M. V. VENKATAPPA (Graduates' Constituency).—

Will the Minister for Revenue and Forests be pleased to state : —

(*a*) whether the Government has received any written representation from payers of land Revenue in the State not to abolish land revenue in its present form ;

(*b*) if so, total number of representations received ;

(*c*) the reasons stated in such representations not to abolish land revenue ;

(*d*) the stage at which the question of the abolition of land revenue in its present form stands today ;

(*e*) whether there is any proposal before the Government to postpone the collection of water rates and other taxes on land in Famine stricken taluks in view of the prevailing scarcity conditions in taluks by the Government recently ?

A.—Sri B. RACHAIAH (Minister for Revenue and Forests).—

(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) One in the Revenue Department.

(*c*) The collection of land Revenue system which is similar to the system prevailing during the time of Shivappa Naika, if abolished, would lead to controversy as to the ownership of lands and therefore, it should not be abolished. Abolition of Land Revenue as such would bring discredit to the dignity of the country.

(*d*) The present system will continue.

(*e*) No.